

Independent Assurance Report (First half)



Independent Assurance Report

To the President and Representative Director of Sumitomo Metal Mining Co., Ltd.

We were engaged by Sumitomo Metal Mining Co., Ltd. (the “Company”) to undertake a limited assurance engagement of the environmental, social and economic performance indicators marked with (the “Indicators”) for the period from April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018 included in its Integrated Report 2018 (the “Report”) for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018; the Company’s self-declaration that the Report is prepared in accordance with the Global Sustainability Standards Board’s GRI Sustainability Reporting Standards (the “GRI Standards”) at a core level; the alignment of the Company’s policies to the International Council on Mining and Metals (“ICMM”)’s 10 Sustainable Development (“SD”) Principles and the applicable mandatory requirements set out in ICMM position statements; the Company’s identification and prioritization of material issues; and the Company’s approach and management of its material issues.

The Company’s Responsibility

The Company is responsible for the preparation of the Indicators in accordance with its own reporting criteria (the “Company’s reporting criteria”), as described in the Report; self-declaring that the Report is prepared in accordance with the criteria stipulated in the GRI Standards; reporting on the alignment of the Company’s policies to the ICMM’s 10 SD Principles and the applicable mandatory requirements set out in ICMM position statements; reporting on the Company’s identification and prioritization of material issues; and for reporting on the Company’s approach and management of its material issues.

Our Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express a limited assurance conclusion on the Indicators based on the procedures we have performed. We conducted our engagement in accordance with ‘International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE) 3000, Assurance Engagements other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information’ and ‘ISAE 3410, Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements’, issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board. The limited assurance engagement consisted of making inquiries, primarily of persons responsible for the preparation of information presented in the Report, and applying analytical and other procedures, and the procedures performed vary in nature from, and are less in extent than for, a reasonable assurance engagement. The level of assurance provided is thus not as high as that provided by a reasonable assurance engagement. Our assurance procedures included:

- Interviewing the Company’s responsible personnel to obtain an understanding of its policy for preparing the Report and reviewing the Company’s reporting criteria.
- Inquiring about the design of the systems and methods used to collect and process the Indicators.
- Performing analytical procedures on the Indicators.
- Examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the generation, aggregation and reporting of the Indicators in conformity with the Company’s reporting criteria, and recalculating the Indicators.
- Visiting the Company’s Harima Refinery selected on the basis of a risk analysis.
- Evaluating the overall presentation of the Indicators.
- Evaluating the Company’s self-declaration that the Report is prepared in accordance with the GRI Standards at a core level against the criteria stipulated in the GRI Standards.
- Assessing the alignment of the Company’s policies to the ICMM’s 10 SD Principles and the applicable mandatory requirements set out in ICMM position statements through documentation reviews and interviews.
- Interviewing the Company’s responsible personnel and reviewing documents with respect to the Company’s process of identifying and prioritizing its material issues and its approach to and management of its material issues.

Independent Assurance Report (Second half)



Conclusion

Based on the procedures performed, as described above, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that:

- the Indicators in the Report are not prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Company's reporting criteria as described in the Report;
- the Company's self-declaration that the Report is prepared in accordance with the GRI Standards at a core level does not conform to the criteria stipulated in the GRI Standards;
- the Company's policies are not aligned to the ICMM's 10 SD Principles and the applicable mandatory requirements set out in ICMM position statements as described on pages 81 and 118;
- the Company has not identified and prioritized its material issues as described on pages 81 to 83; and
- the Company has not approached and managed its material issues as described on pages 92 to 97.

Our Independence and Quality Control

We have complied with the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants, which includes independence and other requirements founded on fundamental principles of integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behavior. In accordance with International Standard on Quality Control 1, we maintain a comprehensive system of quality control including documented policies and procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

KPMG AZSA Sustainability Co., Ltd.

KPMG AZSA Sustainability Co., Ltd.

Tokyo, Japan

October 19, 2018